

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/778,242	02/06/2001	Matt Beaumont	07319/096001	4078	
20985 7	590 01/18/2005	E		AMINER	
FISH & RICH	HARDSON, PC				
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ					

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(4)

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/778,242	BEAUMONT, MATT		
		Examiner Arnel C. Lavarias	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address		
·	peal Brief filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is defective fo		•		
MONT	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a c H or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this D MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.				
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the iter	ms are not under the proper		
2. 🛚	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).				
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).				
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).				
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))				
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).				
7. 🛛	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).				
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).				
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent 41.37(c)(1)(x)).				
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):			
	2: A statement of all pending claims is missing. Spemissing. 7: The brief does not contain a correct cop Additionally, it is noted that Claims 7-8 as listed in the an improper dependence to Claim 5, a claim which we have	y of all pending claims. Specifically, ne brief (See Appendix of Claims in t	Claims 4, 6, 9-33 are missing. he brief filed 3/26/04) indicate		
			THONG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2800		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Application No.